

A multi-stable isotope framework to understand eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems



Daren C. Goody^{a,*}, Dan J. Lapworth^a, Sarah A. Bennett^{b,c}, Tim H.E. Heaton^b, Peter J. Williams^a, Ben W.J. Surridge^d

^a British Geological Survey, Maclean Building, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK

^b NERC Isotope Geoscience Laboratory, British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK

^c School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

^d Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 August 2015

Received in revised form

23 October 2015

Accepted 24 October 2015

Available online 27 October 2015

Keywords:

Eutrophication

Nitrogen isotopes

Phosphate oxygen isotopes

Agriculture

Waste water

ABSTRACT

Eutrophication is a globally significant challenge facing aquatic ecosystems, associated with human induced enrichment of these ecosystems with nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P). However, the limited availability of inherent labels for P and N has constrained understanding of the triggers for eutrophication in natural ecosystems and appropriate targeting of management responses. This paper proposes and evaluates a new multi-stable isotope framework that offers inherent labels to track biogeochemical reactions governing both P and N in natural ecosystems. The framework couples highly novel analysis of the oxygen isotope composition of phosphate ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$) with dual isotope analysis of oxygen and N within nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$) and with stable N isotope analysis in ammonium ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$). The River Beult in England is used as an exemplar system for initial evaluation of this framework. Our data demonstrate the potential to use stable isotope labels to track the input and downstream fate of nutrients from point sources, on the basis of isotopic differentiation for both P and N between river water and waste water treatment work effluent (mean difference = +1.7‰ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$; +15.5‰ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ (under high flow); +7.3‰ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and +4.4‰ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$). Stable isotope data reveal nutrient inputs to the river upstream of the waste water treatment works that are consistent with partially denitrified sewage or livestock sources of nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ range = +11.5 to +13.1‰) and with agricultural sources of phosphate ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ range = +16.6 to +19.0‰). The importance of abiotic and metabolic processes for the in-river fate of N and P are also explored through the stable isotope framework. Microbial uptake of ammonium to meet metabolic demand for N is suggested by substantial enrichment of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ (by 10.2‰ over a 100 m reach) under summer low flow conditions. Whilst the concentration of both nitrate and phosphate decreased substantially along the same reach, the stable isotope composition of these ions did not vary significantly, indicating that concentration changes are likely driven by abiotic processes of dilution or sorption. The in-river stable isotope composition and the concentration of P and N were also largely constant downstream of the waste water treatment works, indicating that effluent-derived nutrients were not strongly coupled to metabolism along this in-river transect. Combined with in-situ and laboratory hydrochemical data, we believe that a multi-stable isotope framework represents a powerful approach for understanding and managing eutrophication in natural aquatic ecosystems.

Crown Copyright © 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Perhaps the most significant challenge facing aquatic ecosystems globally is cultural eutrophication (Schindler, 2012), the

process of ecosystem change triggered by human induced enrichment of ecosystems with phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N). Given the adverse ecological, social and economic impacts associated with eutrophication (Dodds et al., 2009; Pretty et al., 2003), significant research efforts have been directed towards understanding the causes of this process and targeting mitigation strategies. In the context of aquatic ecosystems, two long-standing paradigms

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dcg@bgs.ac.uk (D.C. Goody).

suggest that primary production is limited by an individual nutrient element which thereby represents the trigger for eutrophication. In freshwaters the focus has been on limitation by the availability of P (e.g. Likens, 1972; Schindler, 1977), whilst within estuarine and coastal marine ecosystems the focus has been on N limitation (e.g. Ryther and Dunstan, 1971; Howarth, 1988). However, these paradigms have been subject to growing debate, stimulated by evidence of N limitation in freshwaters (e.g. Mischler et al., 2014; James et al., 2003), N/P co-limitation in freshwaters (e.g. Xu et al., 2010; Conley et al., 2009), or P limitation in marine/estuarine waters (e.g. Blomqvist et al., 2004).

This debate reflects uncertainty regarding a number of the fundamental questions that surround eutrophication and appropriate responses to eutrophication (Smith and Schindler, 2009). An important source of this uncertainty is reliance on bioassays and mesocosms as the experimental basis for understanding nutrient limitation and eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems. These experimental approaches may not accurately reflect the large-scale, long-term processes that govern eutrophication in natural ecosystems, resulting in a bias towards identification of proximate rather than ultimate limiting nutrients (Vitousek et al., 2010) and data that do not scale successfully to natural ecosystems (Schindler, 2012). Past reliance on bioassays and mesocosms partly reflects the lack of inherent tracers that can be used to understand the sources and the reaction pathways which control P and N biogeochemistry in natural ecosystems (Karl, 2000). In this paper, we propose and evaluate a new multi-stable isotope framework that offers inherent tracers for N and P within aquatic ecosystems. Whilst multi-stable isotope approaches are increasingly used in other research fields, for example employing the stable isotopes of nitrate and sulphate in combination (e.g. Mayer, 2005; Kaown et al., 2009; Urresti-Estala et al., 2015) or combining stable isotope analyses in boron and nitrate (e.g. Briand et al., 2013), similar frameworks are yet to be developed in the context of P and N biogeochemistry within aquatic ecosystems.

Dual-isotope approaches for nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$) and stable ammonium isotope analyses ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$) have been used to understand sources and reaction mechanisms for these ions in both groundwater and surface water (e.g. Böttcher et al., 1990; Böhlke and Denver, 1995; Wassenaar, 1995; Kendall, 1998; Silva et al., 2000; Heaton et al., 2012; Goody et al., 2014). Biogeochemical cycling of P in aquatic ecosystems has previously been examined using the radioactive isotopes ^{32}P and ^{33}P (e.g. Benitez-Nelson, 2000; Benitez-Nelson and Karl, 2002). However, the use of radioisotopes is constrained by short isotope half-lives, perturbation of experimental systems associated with labelling, or the use of incubations which omit irregular events in natural ecosystems, such as seasonal algal blooms (Levine et al., 1986; Thingstad et al., 1993; Benitez-Nelson, 2000). Stable isotope analyses cannot be conducted on the P atom in P-containing compounds, because ^{31}P is the only stable P isotope. However, because P is often bound strongly to oxygen (O) in the dissolved inorganic phosphate ion (Blake et al., 1997), hereafter P_i , attention has recently focussed on whether the stable isotope composition of O in P_i ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$) can provide new insights into sources and biogeochemical cycling of P in the environment (e.g. Young et al., 2009; Tamburini et al., 2014; Goody et al., 2015). The basis to the use of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ in aquatic ecosystems has recently been reviewed by Davies et al. (2014). Briefly, because the P–O bonds in P_i are resistant to inorganic hydrolysis under typical temperature and pressure conditions in the Earth's surface water and groundwater ecosystems (O'Neil et al., 2003), negligible O isotope exchange occurs between P_i and water within these ecosystems without biological mediation (Tudge, 1960; Blake et al., 1997). Under such abiotic conditions, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ may therefore reflect the isotope composition of P sources to an

ecosystem. In contrast, enzyme-catalysed reactions cleave P–O bonds leading to fractionation between the isotopes of O in P_i and O in a surrounding fluid, either within a cell or within the extracellular environment (Blake et al., 2005). Intracellular metabolism of P involving the inorganic pyrophosphatase enzyme results in rapid, temperature-dependent equilibrium fractionation between O in P_i and O within the intracellular fluid, the latter is expected to be identical in O-isotope composition to water–O in the extracellular environment. Given sufficient intracellular–extracellular exchange of P to maintain non-lethal intracellular P concentrations, a temperature-dependent equilibrium will be established between $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ and water–O in the extracellular environment. The equilibrium oxygen isotope fractionation between dissolved inorganic phosphate and water ($\alpha_{\text{PO}_4\text{--H}_2\text{O}}$) at surface temperatures has recently been determined (Chang and Blake, 2015), using laboratory solutions catalysed by the inorganic pyrophosphatase enzyme. These authors derived the equation:

$$10^3 \ln \alpha_{\text{PO}_4\text{--H}_2\text{O}} = 14.43 \times \left(10^3/T\right) - 26.54 \quad (1)$$

where T is in degrees Kelvin. Since:

$$\alpha_{\text{PO}_4\text{--H}_2\text{O}} = \left(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4} + 1000\right) / \left(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + 1000\right) \quad (2)$$

by combining 1 and 2 above, expected equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ values may be calculated from:

$$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4} = \left(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + 1000\right) \times e^{[14.43 \times (10^3/T) - 26.54]/1000} - 1000 \quad (3)$$

However, only limited research has explored the use of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ in aquatic ecosystems, particularly within freshwater ecosystems. We are not aware of any research to date that has evaluated whether a multi-stable isotope approach has the potential to provide new insights into the controls on P and N biogeochemistry within natural ecosystems. Therefore, the objectives of our research were to: i) develop and apply a multi-stable isotope approach for N and P in freshwater ecosystems; and ii) evaluate the insights into the sources and reaction mechanisms controlling P and N biogeochemistry in freshwater ecosystems that can be provided through a multi-stable isotope approach.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

The River Beult which rises near Ashford in Kent, UK, was used as an exemplar system to evaluate the multi-stable isotope framework. The Beult is the largest tributary of the River Medway and the only riverine Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) in the county. Landuse within the Beult catchment is predominantly rural, with scattered settlements and an urban land coverage of <1% of the total catchment area. The catchment is predominantly underlain by a thick clay formation (Weald Clay), largely excluding exchange between groundwater and river water. However, there is evidence that some groundwater discharge to surface waters may occur in the catchment, either where small areas of limestone outcrop or where the Weald Clay is discontinuous (Lapworth et al., 2009). Elevated concentrations of P are found widely within the catchment. For example in a survey conducted in 2008, 75% of surface waters were found to exceed 100 $\mu\text{g PO}_4\text{--P/L}$ (Lapworth et al., 2013). Elevated P concentrations place the SSSI in an “unfavourable condition” and exceed target water quality

standards under the European Water Framework Directive (WFD, 2000). Elevated nitrate (NO_3) concentrations are also of concern, with many sites exceeding 30 mg NO_3/L and therefore exceeding the surface water drinking directive limit of 25 mg NO_3/L (Council Directive 75/440/EEC) and the mean annual concentration for the European Environment Agency's river basin district (RBD) classification (Class 5 for the study RBD). Given the predominant landuse within the catchment, agricultural sources coupled with effluent from rural waste water treatment works (WwTWs) are hypothesised to dominate N and P loads delivered to surface waters in the Beult catchment (Lapworth et al., 2013). However, the roles of these nutrient sources in controlling productivity and eutrophication risk in the catchment remain uncertain, as they do within many aquatic ecosystems globally.

2.2. Sites and sampling

A c.200 m reach along the River Beult to the south east of the town of Sutton Valence was sampled during this research (Fig. 1). A total of seven sampling sites (SV1–SV7) were established along an in-river transect that ran both upstream and downstream of Sutton Valence WwTW (Table 1). Samples were collected from these sites twice in a six month period, to provide a seasonal contrast between low flow (September 2013) and high flow (January 2014) conditions. River water samples were collected from the centre of the flowing water course at each site using a submersible pump, ensuring that the inlet of the pump did not disturb river bed sediments during sampling. On-site parameters (dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, temperature and specific electrical conductance (SEC)) were measured and, where appropriate, were allowed to stabilise prior to sampling. DO, SEC and pH were measured in a flow-through cell to obtain representative field values. Samples for analysis of chloride (Cl), N species, soluble reactive P (SRP) and total dissolved P (TDP) were 0.45 μm filtered in the field and collected in 30 mL plastic bottles. Samples for total P (TP) were not filtered and also collected in 30 mL plastic bottles. All samples for isotope analysis were also filtered in the field at 0.45 μm using high capacity filters. Samples for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ determination were filtered into 1 L plastic bottles; the samples for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ determination were acidified in the field with concentrated HCl to pH 2–4. Samples for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ determination were filtered into 10 L plastic bottles. Samples for water-oxygen isotope analysis ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) were collected in 10 mL glass bottles with rubber sealing caps.




Fig. 1. Location of the Beult catchment in England, UK (a) and schematic map of sample locations along a section of the river (b).

2.3. Hydrochemical analyses

Soluble reactive P concentration, a measure of the inorganic monomeric and easily-hydrolysable P in a sample, was determined colorimetrically using the method of Murphy and Riley (1962) as modified by Neal et al. (2000). Total phosphorus concentration, the combination of TDP and particulate P concentrations, was determined by the method of Eisenreich et al. (1975) on unfiltered samples, whilst TDP concentration was determined using the same method but on filtered aliquots. Samples were analysed for the concentrations of Cl, NO_3 and nitrite (NO_2) using ion chromatography (IC), and for ammonium (NH_4) concentration by flow colorimetry.

2.4. Sample preparation for isotope analysis

Nitrate was separated from the sample matrix using anion exchange resins and prepared as silver nitrate using a method based on Chang et al. (1999). Ammonium was converted to ammonium sulphate on acidified quartz filter papers using a static ammonia diffusion technique (adapted from Sigman et al., 1997).

We developed and applied a new method to isolate P_i from water samples and precipitate silver phosphate (Ag_3PO_4) for isotope analysis, shown in Fig. 2 and described in detail in Lapworth et al. (2014). Samples were processed within 24 h of collection and were stored in the dark at 4 °C prior to processing. In brief, the majority of dissolved organic matter in a sample is first removed using an organic exchange resin and P_i was then isolated from the remaining matrix using an anion exchange resin. Phosphate was eluted from the anion exchange resin and chromatographically separated from competing anions using 0.3 M KCl. Eluted fractions containing phosphate were then processed using a modified McLaughlin et al. (2004) method to produce a final Ag_3PO_4 precipitate for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ analysis. Any residual organic matter remaining on the Ag_3PO_4 precipitate was removed by treatment with 15% hydrogen peroxide. We believe that the method shown in Fig. 2 represents an advance over alternative sample preparation protocols (e.g. repeated CePO_4 precipitation, Li et al. (2011)), in that it successfully prevents contamination of the final Ag_3PO_4 precipitate with organic compounds (see Section 2.5 below) whilst also maintaining the final Ag_3PO_4 yield. The method reported in Fig. 2 is a multi-stage process (c. 14 days in total) and was carried out in batches of eight samples.

2.5. Mass spectrometry

The ratio $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ in NH_4 and NO_3 was analysed by combustion in a Flash 1112 EA on-line to a Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany), with $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values versus atmospheric N_2 calculated by comparison with standards IAEA N-1 and N-2 assuming these had $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of +0.4‰ and +20.3‰, respectively. Analytical precision (1 SD) was typically <0.8‰, from repeat analysis of a sample. $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratios of NO_3 were analysed by thermal conversion to CO gas at 1400 °C in a TC–EA on-line to a Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany), with $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values versus VSMOW calculated by comparison with standard IAEA- NO_3 assuming it had a $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of +25.6‰. Analytical precision (1 SD) was typically <1.2‰.

$^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratios of Ag_3PO_4 were analysed by thermal conversion to CO gas at 1400 °C in a TC–EA on-line to a Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany). The $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ value versus VSMOW was calculated by comparison with an internally run laboratory standard (Alfa Aesar silver phosphate, 99%). In the absence of an international Ag_3PO_4 reference material, we derived the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of the laboratory standard by comparison

Table 1

On site parameters and concentration of selected nutrients and anions in the River Beult under low and high flow conditions. (ds = downstream).

Site	Temp. °C	pH	DO mg/L	Cl mg/L	NO ₃ mg NO ₃ /L	NO ₂ mg NO ₂ /L	NH ₄ mg NH ₄ /L	SRP µg P/L	TDP µg P/L	TP µg P/L	N:P molar
Low Flow (Sep 2013)											
SV1 DS Poultry and soft fruit farm	21.0	7.80	<0.5	60.1	33.4	3.11	34.0	2090	2135	2440	30
SV2 50 m ds of Livestock Farm	17.2	7.77	3.2	38.8	10.6	0.251	0.324	1296	1322	1955	3.0
SV3 WWTW outflow	20.3	7.76	6.5	93.8	131	0.126	0.043	888	918	954	68
SV4 Reed bed outflow	18.6	7.54	5.5	93.3	130	0.064	0.008	948	942	952	68
SV5 5 m ds of outflow	18.3	7.80	5.4	86.9	119	0.056	0.012	964	974	978	61
SV6 10 m ds of outflow	18.4	7.62	5.5	92.8	126	0.063	0.010	956	938	1002	63
SV7 35 m ds of outflow	18.8	7.97	7.5	91.3	123	0.024	0.028	948	956	1016	60
High Flow (Jan 2014)											
SV1 DS Poultry and soft fruit farm	7.4	7.71	4.5	37.7	34.5	<0.005	0.352	181	195	297	60
SV2 50 m ds of Livestock Farm	7.1	7.85	10.1	32.7	23.8	<0.005	0.332	301	322	512	24
SV3 WWTW outflow	9.6	7.60	7.9	84.2	75.1	0.232	0.105	1020	1096	1264	29
SV4 Reed bed outflow	9.8	7.20	7.4	86.1	72.1	0.014	0.025	1036	1060	1152	31
SV5 5 m ds of outflow	7.3	7.57	4.1	47.9	37.1	<0.005	0.123	516	552	706	27
SV6 10 m ds of outflow	7.4	7.51	4.2	48.6	36.9	0.100	0.134	524	559	742	25
SV7 35 m ds of outflow	6.8	7.41	4.2	46.8	35.6	0.457	0.142	524	598	768	23

with the Ag₃PO₄ standard 'B2207' (supplied by Elemental Micro-analysis Ltd, Okehampton, England), which has a certified δ¹⁸O value of +21.7‰ versus VSMOW. Any organic contamination of the Ag₃PO₄ produced using the protocol in Fig. 2 was deemed to be negligible, based on CO yields of the Ag₃PO₄ samples always being within ±10% of those of a laboratory Ag₃PO₄ standard, coupled with Ag₃PO₄ samples containing <0.2% carbon (based on separate elemental analysis). Full replicates were processed through each stage of the extraction protocol reported in Fig. 2 on three occasions and on each occasion gave δ¹⁸O values within a range of ±0.1‰ (see Table 2). Analytical precision (1SD) was consistently <0.2‰ and

always less than 0.3‰ (Table 2). On this basis, we consider a difference in δ¹⁸O_{PO₄} of ≥0.3‰ to be a reasonable indicator that two samples differ in isotopic composition for reasons other than analytical error.

3. Results

3.1. Inorganic chemistry

Table 1 reports on-site and laboratory hydrochemical data for low flow (September 2013) and high flow (January 2014) sampling




Fig. 2. Schematic of the modified McLaughlin et al. (2004) protocol used to process water samples for δ¹⁸O_{PO₄} analysis.

Table 2

Stable isotope data for nitrate, ammonium, phosphate and water sampled under high and low flow conditions on the River Beult. Average value reported for duplicates (^a15.1 and 15.02; ^b16.6 and 16.65; ^c16.2 and 16.1). * indicates insufficient sample to calculate standard deviation. – indicates concentration too low for isotope analysis.

Site	$\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3$		$\delta^{18}\text{O}-\text{NO}_3$		$\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NH}_4$		$\text{H}_2\text{O}-\delta^{18}\text{O}$	$\delta^{18}\text{O}-\text{PO}_4$	
	‰	±	‰	±	‰	±		‰	±
Low Flow (Sep 2013)									
SV1	13.1	0.3	7.8	0.5	10.0	0.1	-6.08	16.7	0.2
SV2	12.2	0.4	8.6	0.4	20.2	0.7	-5.22	16.6 ^b	0.1
SV3	8.6	0.2	-0.2	0.2	–	–	-6.84	14.7	0.1
SV4	9.3	0.2	1.0	0.2	–	–	-6.83	15.4	0.1
SV5	9.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	–	–	-6.77	15.4	0.2
SV6	9.4	0.2	-0.1	0.0	–	–	-6.78	15.2	0.1
SV7	9.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	–	–	-6.73	15.1 ^a	0.2
High Flow (Jan 2014)									
SV1	11.5	0.1	7.0	0.6	15.1	0.1	-6.50	18.6	0.1
SV2	12.0	0.1	7.4	0.1	18.2	0.4	-6.60	19.0	0.2
SV3	4.7	0.1	-0.8	0.6	33.7	*	-7.32	16.2 ^c	0.2
SV4	6.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	–	–	-7.34	16.8	0.1
SV5	8.9	0.1	2.1	0.2	20.2	*	-6.82	17.5	0.1
SV6	8.6	0.1	2.9	0.2	21.4	*	-6.90	17.7	0.2
SV7	8.6	0.1	3.2	0.3	19.2	*	-6.87	17.6	0.1

events. Temperature data reflect the climatic difference between seasons, with average water temperature >11 °C warmer in September 2013 compared to January 2014. Dissolved oxygen concentration at sites SV1 and SV2 was elevated under high flow compared to low flow conditions, consistent with temperature-related control on oxygen solubility. However, DO concentration was particularly low at SV1 under low flow conditions, suggesting either an input of strongly anoxic water to the River Beult at this site, or that there was significant consumption of oxygen upstream of SV1. Sutton Valence WwTW (SV4) delivered effluent with elevated Cl concentrations to the River Beult under both high and low flow conditions. Consistent with the very low DO concentration, Cl concentration at SV1 under low flow conditions was elevated compared to SV2 and compared to SV1 and SV2 under high flow conditions.

Concentrations of NO_3 and SRP at river sampling sites were generally elevated under low flow compared to high flow conditions, likely driven by reduced dilution of point sources given lower discharge (Jarvie et al., 2006; Lapworth et al., 2013). Under low flow conditions, the concentrations of SRP and other P fractions were particularly high at SV1 and SV2, whilst the concentration of NO_3 at SV1 was elevated compared to that at SV2. Ammonium and NO_2 concentrations were generally low at all sampling sites during both sampling events, apart from SV1 and SV2 where high NH_4 and NO_2 concentrations were observed under low flow conditions and, to a much reduced extent and for NH_4 only, under high flow conditions. Fig. 3 reports the relationship between NO_3 and Cl concentration for all sites, under both low and high flow conditions ($\rho = +1.00$, $p < 0.01$ and $\rho = +0.929$, $p < 0.01$ respectively). For samples collected under low flow, a clustering of sites with high NO_3 and high Cl concentrations is revealed, associated with the WwTW effluent (SV3 and SV4) and river sites downstream of the WwTW (SV5–SV7). Sites SV1 and SV2 were characterised by relatively low NO_3 and Cl concentrations during this sampling event. In contrast, three clusters of sites are revealed under high flow conditions, with SV5–SV7 occupying an intermediate position between upstream sites (SV1 and SV2) and sites associated with the WwTW (SV3 and SV4). A similar clustering of sites and flow-dependency to the clustering is revealed in the relationships between SRP and Cl concentrations (Fig. 4), where $\rho = -0.901$, $p < 0.01$ under low flow and $\rho = +0.901$, $p < 0.01$ under high flow.

3.2. Stable isotope data

Table 2 summarises the stable isotope dataset from the River Beult. The overall range for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ was +4.7 to +13.1‰, whilst for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ the range was -0.8 to +8.6‰. Both $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ at SV1 and SV2 were enriched compared to all other sites and this pattern was consistent under both high and low flow conditions. Values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ for SV3 and SV4 were reduced, by 3.2–3.9‰, during high flow compared to low flow conditions. Upstream river site SV2 was isotopically enriched compared to the final outflow from the WwTW (SV4), both for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (by 2.9‰ under low flow conditions and 5.9‰ under high flow conditions) and for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (by 7.6‰ under low flow conditions and 7.2‰ under high flow conditions). Sites downstream of the WwTW (SV5–7) had $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ values that were either dominated by those of the final effluent from the WwTW (low flow conditions), or were intermediate between values for SV4 and for SV1 and SV2 (high flow conditions). The relationship between $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and NO_3 concentration under low and high flow conditions is reported in Fig. 5 ($\rho = -0.901$, $p < 0.01$ and $\rho = -0.883$, $p < 0.01$ respectively). A trend of decreasing $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ with increasing NO_3 concentration was observed for both sampling events, with lowest NO_3 concentrations and highest $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ values occurring upstream of the WwTW. Similar trends exist for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$, although for brevity these data are not reported in a separate figure.

A range of +10.0 to +33.7‰ was observed for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$. Under low flow conditions, substantial enrichment of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ was observed between SV1 and SV2, increasing by 10.2‰ over an in-river length of approximately 100 m. Unfortunately, the concentration of NH_4 at all other sites during this sampling event was too low to enable analysis of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$. A smaller enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ (3.1‰) was observed between SV1 and SV2 under high flow conditions. Under high flow conditions, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ was enriched by 15.5‰ in the WwTW outflow (SV3) compared to upstream river site SV2, although insufficient $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ data were available to make this comparison under low flow conditions. At SV5–SV7 under high flow conditions, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ was intermediate between that of sites SV2 and SV3, whilst both the concentration of NH_4 and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ remained relatively constant in the river downstream of SV4 during this sampling event.

The stable isotope composition of P_i varied between +14.7 and +19.0‰ across the samples. Under low flow conditions, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ was relatively constant between SV1 and SV2. Although the absolute value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ was constant between these sites, the departure from the theoretical equilibrium value changed from +0.1‰ to -1.5‰ as a result of shifts in water temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ between SV1 and SV2 and therefore in the theoretical equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ (Table 3). In contrast, under high flow conditions, the absolute value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ increased by 0.4‰ from site SV1 to SV2, whilst the theoretical equilibrium value remained unchanged. Values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ at SV2 were 1.2‰ and 2.2‰ enriched compared to the final effluent from the WwTW (SV4) under low and high flow conditions respectively. Under high flow conditions, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ at sites downstream of the WwTW outflow (SV5–SV7) remained relatively constant at an average of +17.6‰ ± 0.1. Under low flow conditions, there was some evidence of decreasing $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ with distance downstream of the WwTW, although $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ only decreased by 0.3‰ over the 35 m river reach and these samples remained between -0.9‰ and -1.2‰ depleted compared to the theoretical equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ (Table 3). Marked differences in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ were observed across all sites between low and high flow conditions, with samples taken in January 2014 an average of 2‰ higher than samples taken in September 2013. The relationship between $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ and SRP concentration under low and high flow conditions is shown in Fig. 6. Under low flow conditions, there was a trend of




Fig. 3. Nitrate concentration against chloride concentration for low and high flow sampling events.




Fig. 4. Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentration against chloride concentration for low and high flow sampling events (note change in scale of SRP concentration between low and flows).

increasing $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ with increasing SRP concentration ($\rho = +0.900$, $p < 0.01$), with the highest SRP concentration and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ occurring at sites SV1 and SV2. In contrast, under high flow conditions the trend was reversed ($\rho = -0.811$, $p < 0.05$), with lowest SRP concentration and highest $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ values occurring in samples from these same sites.

4. Discussion

4.1. Hydrochemical insights into controls on nutrient biogeochemistry

The temporal and spatial variation in N and P concentrations




Fig. 5. Nitrate-nitrogen isotope composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$) against nitrate concentration for high and low flow sampling events. Vertical bars show standard deviation on $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (note change in scale for nitrate concentration between high and low flow events). Under high flow conditions SV3–4 are obscured by SV5–7.

Table 3

Measured temperature, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ for water samples collected under low and high flow events on the River Beult; and the theoretical equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ calculated using Equation (3).

	Measured $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ (‰)	Measured $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ (‰)	Measured temperature (°C)	Theoretical equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ (‰)	Difference (measured-theoretical) $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ (‰)
Low flow (Sep 2013)					
SV1	16.7	−6.08	21.0	16.6	0.1
SV2	16.6	−5.22	17.2	18.1	−1.5
SV3	14.7	−6.84	20.3	15.8	−1.1
SV4	15.4	−6.83	18.6	16.2	−0.8
SV5	15.4	−6.77	18.3	16.3	−0.9
SV6	15.2	−6.78	18.4	16.3	−1.1
SV7	15.1	−6.73	18.8	16.3	−1.2
High flow (Jan 2014)					
SV1	18.6	−6.50	7.4	18.5	0.1
SV2	19.0	−6.60	7.1	18.5	0.5
SV3	16.2	−7.32	9.6	17.3	−1.1
SV4	16.8	−7.34	9.8	17.2	−0.4
SV5	17.5	−6.82	7.3	18.2	−0.7
SV6	17.7	−6.90	7.4	18.2	−0.5
SV7	17.6	−6.87	6.8	18.3	−0.7

reported in Table 1 may be interpreted through a mixing relationship between effluent from the WwTW and water in the River Beult upstream of the effluent discharge point. Under high flow conditions, WwTW effluent represents an end-member with elevated NO_3 and SRP concentration and is diluted on entering the river. Dilution produces downstream concentrations of NO_3 and SRP in the River Beult that are intermediate between the composition of the two end-members (Figs. 3 and 4). Under low flow conditions, this mixing pattern is repeated for NO_3 although with reduced dilution of the WwTW effluent. However, for both SRP and NH_4 under low flow conditions, the final WwTW effluent effectively dilutes enriched upstream river water, to such an extent that downstream river concentrations of NH_4 and SRP predominantly reflect effluent quality (Jarvie et al., 2010; Macdonald et al., 1995). Dissolved oxygen, Cl, NO_3 , NH_4 and P concentration data suggest a particular source of nutrient-enriched water influenced SV1 under low flow conditions, although these hydrochemical parameters suggest that the impact of this water source appeared to be absent, or at least significantly reduced, under high flow conditions.

Whilst the existence of the effluent and upstream end members, alongside flow-dependent variation in the mixing relationship between these end-members, is revealed by on-site and laboratory hydrochemical data, these data do not offer direct insights into two key questions related to understanding of the eutrophication process in aquatic ecosystems. Firstly, whilst the WwTW effluent

appears to be an important source of N and P to the River Beult, the source of other nutrient inputs to the river remain uncertain, particularly at SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions. This reflects the broader challenge of identifying the original source of nutrients, alongside the relative importance of different sources, in aquatic ecosystems (Jarvie et al., 2006). Secondly, concentration data alone do not provide direct insight into the biogeochemical mechanisms that govern the fate of nutrient elements during downstream transport within river ecosystems. Whilst indirect methods of source assessment have been developed, including the use of boron as a chemically conservative marker for WwTW effluent input to rivers (e.g. Neal et al., 2000) and microbial source tracking to identify human versus agricultural sources of faecal contamination (e.g. Scott et al., 2002), these methods do not offer an inherent label for either P or N. As a result, they lack a direct and specific means of tracing in-river transformations of these nutrients. For example, both upstream and downstream of the WwTW, concentration changes may be driven by physical mixing of water sources, by abiotic geochemical mechanisms, or by metabolic processes. Discriminating between these individual processes is important if effective responses to eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems are to be developed. For example, understanding whether nutrients derived from WwTWs are strongly coupled to in-river metabolism is critical if capital and operating expenditure on nutrient removal technology at WwTWs is to be prioritised. Therefore, the extent to




Fig. 6. Stable phosphate oxygen composition ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$) against soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentration for low and high flow sampling events. Vertical bars show standard deviation on $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ (note change in scale for SRP concentration between low and high flow events).

which a multi-stable isotope approach can provide insight into the key questions of source and in-river fate of nutrient elements is considered below.

4.2. Differentiating sources of P and N on the basis of stable isotope composition

Stable isotope data can provide insight into the original sources of N and P that contribute to aquatic ecosystems. Stable isotope analyses indicate that $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ at SV1 is enriched compared to the typical composition of NO_3 derived from nitrification of NH_4 within soils (Kendall, 1998; Heaton et al., 2012). Instead, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ composition is similar to that reported for NO_3 derived from sewage or from livestock slurry or manure which has undergone partial denitrification, resulting in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ between +10 and +14‰ (Anisfield et al., 2007; Kendall et al., 2007). Denitrification of these sources of N upstream of SV1 may have been responsible for the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ composition at this site. However, whilst the dual isotopes of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ provide a powerful basis for differentiating atmospheric and inorganic fertiliser sources of NO_3 from each other, and from livestock or sewage sources, this dual isotope approach cannot distinguish between sewage and livestock sources, which requires the use of additional source markers such as boron isotopes (e.g. Briand et al., 2013). Enriched $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ at SV1 (>10‰) is also consistent with a livestock manure or slurry source that may have become isotopically enriched following volatilisation of ammonia (e.g. Widory et al., 2004). Although the existing global dataset remains relatively small, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ at SV1 is broadly consistent with the stable isotope composition of P derived from inorganic fertiliser or livestock excreta, sources that are characterised by $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ between approximately +16 and +25‰ (see Davies et al., 2014).

Under low flow conditions, Cl, DO, NO_3 , NH_4 and SRP concentrations are consistent with isotopic evidence for a distinct source of N and P that influences the River Beult at SV1, likely associated with agricultural activity or unsewered households in the upstream catchment (Lapworth et al., 2013). Under high flow conditions, significant changes in these hydrochemical parameters were observed at SV1, although it is not clear whether these changes in concentration are consistent with alternative sources of N and P influencing the river under high flow compared to low flow conditions. However, stable isotope data indicate that SV1 was dominated by similar sources of N and P under both low and high flow conditions, despite substantial changes in nutrient concentration between the two sampling events. Enrichment of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$, depletion of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ and enrichment of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ is observed at SV1 compared to downstream river sites and compared to the effluent from the WwTW, under both high and low flow conditions. This, coupled with relatively constant $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$, and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ values at SV1 across both sampling events, suggests that a common nutrient source influenced SV1 in September 2013 and January 2014. The 1.9‰ shift in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ at SV1 between low and high flow sampling events could indicate changes in the dominant source of P to the River Beult across these events. However, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ was consistent with the theoretical equilibrium value on both occasions, suggesting the 1.9‰ shift resulted from changes in equilibrium fractionation driven by changes in water temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ between sampling events, rather than a change in P source. Fig. 7 shows this effect for all samples, by considering theoretical equilibrium values for a range of water temperatures and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$.

Under both high and low flow conditions, the stable isotope composition of NO_3 , NH_4 and SRP in final effluent samples differed substantially from that in river water upstream of the WwTW. Differentiation of nutrient sources on the basis of their stable isotope composition is the fundamental pre-requisite for using

subsequent isotope fractionation to trace metabolism of nutrients derived from individual sources during in-river transport. Whilst previous work has examined the stable isotope composition of NO_3 and NH_4 in river water and in final effluent samples from WwTWs (e.g. Sebilo et al., 2006; Hood et al., 2014), our research represents some of the first data to demonstrate differentiation between effluent and upstream river water samples in terms of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ (although see also Gruau et al., 2005; McLaughlin et al., 2006; Young et al., 2009). The 1.4‰ shift in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ in WwTW effluent between low and high flow conditions may indicate differences in the composition of waste water arriving at the WwTW, water residence time, or extent of metabolism within the works, and emphasises the need for more intensive characterisation and explanation of variation in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ within sources of P, such as WwTW effluent (see also Gruau et al., 2005). At SV3 under both high and low flow conditions, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ remained –1.1‰ from theoretical equilibrium. This observation suggests that P is in excess of metabolic requirements within the WwTW, and is consistent with either an isotopically depleted source of SRP entering the works and passing conservatively through the treatment processes, or with kinetic isotope fractionation during the hydrolysis of organic P compounds within the WwTW that shifts $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ towards isotopically depleted values (Blake et al., 2005).

4.3. Stable isotope evidence for the in-river fate of N and P

Under low flow conditions, the concentration of NH_4 decreased by two orders of magnitude between SV1 and SV2, suggesting potential nitrification within the stream network. The decrease in the concentration of NO_3 between these same sites could be interpreted as evidence for in-stream denitrification or biological uptake of NO_3 occurring alongside nitrification. For example, stream bed sediments have been shown to be potentially important locations for denitrification in river ecosystems (e.g. Seitzinger, 1988). Coupling stable isotope data for NH_4 and NO_3 enables the roles of nitrification and denitrification to be explored. Substantial increases in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ were observed between SV1 and SV2, consistent with nitrification and a kinetic isotope effect in which isotopically lighter NH_4 ions are preferentially nitrified, resulting in isotopic enrichment of the remaining NH_4 in the extracellular




Fig. 7. A comparison of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ for samples collected in low flow (filled symbols) and high flow (open symbols) conditions. Vertical and horizontal hashed areas represent range of measured $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ during low and high flows respectively. Diagonal dashed lines represent the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ equilibrium values for ambient water for the range of temperatures at low and high flows calculated using Equation 3.

environment (Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize, 2001). However, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ did not decrease consistently between SV1 and SV2 across both sampling events, as would be expected following generation of NO_3 through nitrification (Sebilo et al., 2006). In addition, substantial decreases in NO_3 concentration were observed between SV1 and SV2 under both high and low flow conditions, which is not consistent with nitrification. Whilst denitrification or biological uptake of NO_3 may have been responsible for decreases in NO_3 concentration, no clear evidence was found for enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ that would be expected if denitrification or biological uptake were responsible for the decrease in NO_3 concentration between SV1 and SV2 (Heaton et al., 2012). Sebilo et al. (2003) demonstrated that denitrification in stream bed sediments was not associated with a large fractionation of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, because diffusion of NO_3 from the water column into reduced sediments is the rate-limiting, but non-fractionating, step for denitrification in these environments. However, given the three-fold decrease in NO_3 concentration between SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions, the fact that $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ actually decreased between these sites on the River Beult is not consistent with denitrification exerting a significant control on the fate of N. There does not appear to be strong isotopic evidence for denitrification within this upstream reach of the river.

Instead, stable isotope data suggest NH_4 uptake and incorporation into biomass may have been responsible for the decreases in NH_4 concentration observed between SV1 and SV2. Biological uptake under eutrophic conditions is associated with a kinetic isotope effect in which isotopically lighter ions are preferentially taken up and incorporated into biomass, resulting in isotopic enrichment of the remaining extracellular NH_4 (Cifuentes et al., 1989). Whilst ammonia volatilisation may also increase $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ in any remaining NH_4 , stream temperature and pH were relatively consistent between SV1 and SV2 during both sampling events, meaning that volatilisation is unlikely to have been responsible for the observed decrease in NH_4 concentration between these sites.

The lack of any substantial change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ or $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ between SV1 and SV2 suggests decreases in NO_3 concentration were unlikely to be due to metabolism, but were instead caused by an abiotic mechanism. Given the low affinity of NO_3 for sediment sorption sites, the decrease in NO_3 concentration alongside relatively constant $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ suggests dilution may have been responsible for the decreases in NO_3 concentration between SV1 and SV2. The existence of an additional input of water to the River Beult is also supported by an increase in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ between SV1 and SV2, although this was only observed under low flow conditions suggesting that groundwater discharge to the river may have been responsible for dilution of NO_3 between these sites. However, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ at SV2 under low flow conditions was outside the isotopic range for groundwater in this area of the UK, which lies between +6 and +7‰ (Darling et al., 2003). Instead, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ suggests a water source characterised by partially evaporated surface water influenced the river at SV2, likely from drainage systems associated with the farming activity between SV1 and SV2. Whilst dilution may also have contributed to the decrease in NH_4 concentration between these sites under low flow conditions, our data indicate that changes in NH_4 concentration were also associated with substantial increases in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$. This is indicative of metabolic processes influencing the in-river fate of NH_4 but not NO_3 , offering insights into differences in the in-river fate of these ions as governed by their role in meeting metabolic demand for N.

In contrast to $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$, the value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ for SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions did not change substantially, despite a decrease of almost 50% in the concentration of SRP between these two sites. Consistent with NO_3 , the lack of substantial changes in stable isotope composition, coupled with a substantial decrease in

concentration, suggests that an abiotic rather than metabolic mechanism controlled the concentration of SRP in this upstream reach of the river. Whilst dilution may also have been responsible for the change in SRP concentration, adsorption of P_i to stream bed sediments can be significant (Jarvie et al., 2012). Some research has suggested that the initial stages of some abiotic reactions, such as sorption, are associated with kinetic isotope effects in which isotopically lighter P_i ions are preferentially removed from solution (e.g. Jaisi et al., 2010). However, we observed no evidence for this in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ data at SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions. Further, whilst the absolute value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ did not change between SV1 and SV2, the deviation from expected equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ changed from 0.1 to -1.5‰ as a result of differences in water temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ between SV1 and SV2 and therefore the theoretical equilibrium value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$. The increased deviation between observed and equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ values suggests a lack of intracellular metabolism of SRP in this upstream reach of the River Beult. In turn, this is consistent with molar N:P and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NH}_4}$ in this reach that suggest N (and specifically NH_4) rather than P is likely to limit metabolic activity. Under high flow conditions, SRP concentration and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ increased from SV1 to SV2. These data indicate that either an external source of P, enriched in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$, entered the river between SV1 and SV2, or that SRP was re-generated from organic P compounds with partial inheritance of an isotopically enriched $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ composition from the source organic P compound (Blake et al., 1997; Colman et al., 2005). Molar N:P between SV1 and SV2 suggest an increased probability of P limitation or N/P co-limitation, meaning that regeneration of SRP from organic P compounds between these sites may have been promoted.

Examination of stable isotope data from SV5–SV7 also enables the potential links between N and P from WWTW effluent and in-stream metabolism to be examined. This is only possible because of the difference between the stable isotope composition of N and P in the effluent and in the river immediately upstream of the WWTW. With respect to $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$, strong coupling between effluent-derived P and in-river metabolism would be expected to rapidly imprint an equilibrium fractionation on SRP downstream of the WWTW, due to extensive uptake of SRP-intracellular equilibrium fractionation-release of SRP (Blake et al., 2005). Given reduced molar N:P at SV5–SV7 under low flow compared to high flow conditions, these samples are most likely to reveal isotopic evidence for in-stream metabolism of SRP. However, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ remained approximately 1‰ away from the theoretical equilibrium along the 35 m transect downstream of the WWTW under low flow conditions, indicating little evidence for significant in-stream metabolism of effluent-derived SRP in this reach. Under high flow conditions, molar N:P suggests increased potential for N limitation or N/P co-limitation at SV5–SV7. Values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$ remained relatively constant across these sites and, on average, 0.6‰ away from the theoretical equilibrium. However, there was also little isotopic evidence for in-stream metabolism of NO_3 between SV5–SV7 under either high or low flow conditions. It is likely that the constrained transect length and associated residence time provided only limited opportunity for intracellular cycling and release of SRP to imprint an equilibrium isotope fractionation on $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$, or for metabolic processes to generate fractionation in the stable isotope composition of either NH_4 or NO_3 . Longer downstream transects should be considered in order to fully evaluate the potential links between WWTW-derived nutrients and in-stream metabolism within streams and rivers using stable isotope approaches.

5. Conclusions

In-situ and laboratory hydrochemical data collected from the River Beult indicate that flow-dependent changes in mixing

between upstream river water and final effluent from a WWTW strongly influence downstream river nutrient concentrations. However, these hydrochemical data alone provide no insight into the original sources of nutrients that influence eutrophication risk within the river, nor into the biogeochemical processes that govern the downstream fate of these nutrients. Our research demonstrates how a multi-stable isotope framework can provide additional insights into such questions that are fundamental to understanding the eutrophication process within freshwater ecosystems.

Stable isotope data suggest that nutrient input to the upstream reaches of the River Beult is dominated by sewage or agricultural sources under both high and low flow conditions, despite substantial changes in nutrient concentration across these different flow conditions. Stable isotope data support the need for measures to reduce diffuse water pollution from agriculture in order to address nutrient enrichment and eutrophication risk in the upstream reaches of the River Beult. In-river changes in stable isotope composition suggest an important role for microbial uptake of NH_4 to meet metabolic demands for N, particularly under low flow conditions. These data suggest that measures which target reductions in NH_4 concentration within the River Beult should be prioritised in order to drive changes in autotrophic production within upstream river reaches. In contrast, changes in the concentration of NO_3 and SRP, interpreted through the stable isotope data, indicate that abiotic mechanisms control the fate of these ions in the upstream reach. Stable isotope data also suggest that N and P derived from a WWTW are not strongly coupled to metabolism within the river immediately downstream of the effluent discharge point, confirming the importance of addressing upstream sources of these nutrients. However, further sampling along a more extensive downstream transect would be required to determine the ultimate fate of WWTW-derived nutrients within rivers using changes in stable isotope composition.

Three priorities for further research should be addressed in order to fully realise the potential of the multi-stable isotope framework proposed here. Firstly, the degree to which individual sources of nutrients can be reliably distinguished on the basis of their stable isotope composition requires further evaluation, particularly for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO}_4}$. Secondly, stable isotope data at higher temporal frequency are required in order to evaluate short-term changes in the isotope composition of sources, for example diurnal changes in final effluent from smaller WWTWs with short residence times. Finally, more extensive and higher-intensity spatial sampling is required to assess the in-river fate of nutrients derived from a range of sources, on the basis of changes in stable isotope composition. It is hoped that the initial evaluation of a multi-stable isotope framework for P and N reported in this paper will help stimulate future research to address these challenges.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Flo Kent (Environment Agency) for assisting access during the sampling campaigns, Marianne Stuart (British Geological Survey) for helpful comments on the draft manuscript as well as the Associate Editor and two anonymous reviewers. This paper is published with the permission of the Executive Director, British Geological Survey (NERC).

References

Anisfeld, S.C., Barnes, R.T., Altabet, M.A., Wu, T., 2007. Isotopic apportionment of atmospheric and sewage nitrogen sources in two Connecticut rivers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 6363–6369.

Benitez-Nelson, C.R., Karl, D.M., 2002. Phosphorus cycling in the North Pacific subtropical Gyre using cosmogenic ^{32}P and ^{33}P . *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 47 (3), 762–770.

Benitez-Nelson, C.R., 2000. The biogeochemical cycling of phosphorus in marine systems. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 51 (1–4), 109–135.

Böhike, J.K., Denver, J.M., 1995. Combined use of groundwater dating, chemical, and isotopic analyses to resolve the history and fate of nitrate contamination in two agricultural water sheds. Atlantic coastal plain, Maryland. *Water Resour. Res.* 31, 2319–2339.

Böttcher, J., Strbel, O., Voerkelius, S., Schmidt, H.L., 1990. Using isotope fractionation of nitrate-nitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial denitrification in a sandy aquifer. *J. Hydrology* 114, 413–424.

Blomqvist, S., Gunnars, A., Elmgren, R., 2004. Why the limiting nutrient differs between temperate coastal seas and freshwater lakes: a matter of salt. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 49 (6), 2236–2241.

Blake, R.E., O'Neil, J.R., Garcia, G.A., 1997. Oxygen isotope systematics of biologically mediated reactions of phosphate: 1. Microbial degradation of organophosphorus compounds. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 61, 4411–4422.

Blake, R.E., O'Neil, J.R., Surkov, A.V., 2005. Biogeochemical cycling of phosphorus: Insights from oxygen isotope effects of phosphoenzymes. *Am. J. Sci.* 305 (6–8), 596–620.

Briand, C., Plagnes, V., Sebilo, M., Louvat, P., Chesnot, T., Schneider, M., Ribstein, P., Marchet, P., 2013. Combination of nitrate (N, O) and boron isotopic ratios with microbiological indicators for the determination of nitrate sources in karstic groundwater. *Environ. Chem.* 10, 365.

Chang, C.C.Y., Langston, J., Riggs, M., Campbell, D.H., Silva, S.R., Kendall, C., 1999. A method for nitrate collection for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ analysis from waters with low nitrate concentrations. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 56, 1856–1864.

Chang, S.J., Blake, R.E., 2015. Precise calibration of equilibrium oxygen isotope fractionations between dissolved phosphate and water from 3–37°C. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 150, 314–329.

Cifuentes, L.A., Fogel, M.L., Pennock, J.R., Sharp, J.H., 1989. Biogeochemical factors that influence the stable isotope ratio of dissolved ammonium in the Delaware Estuary. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 53, 2713–2721.

Conley, D.J., Paerl, H.W., Howarth, R.W., Boesch, D.F., Seitzinger, S.P., Havens, K.E., Lancelot, C., Likens, G.E., 2009. Controlling eutrophication: nitrogen and phosphorus. *Science* 323 (5917), 1014–1015.

Colman, A.S., Blake, R.E., Karl, D.M., Fogel, M.L., Turekian, K.K., 2005. Marine phosphate oxygen isotopes and organic matter remineralization in the oceans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102 (37), 13023–13028.

Council Directive 75/440/EEC, 1975. Concerning the quality required of surface water intended for the abstraction of drinking water in the member states. *Off. J. Eur. Commun.* L194 18, 26–31.

Darling, W.G., Bath, A.H., Talbot, J.C., 2003. The O and H stable isotopic composition of fresh waters in the British Isles. 2. Surface waters and groundwater. *Hydro. Earth Syst. Sci.* 7 (2), 183–195.

Davies, C.L., SurrIDGE, B.W.J., Goody, D.C., 2014. Phosphate oxygen isotopes within aquatic ecosystems: global data synthesis and future research priorities. *Sci. Total Environ.* 496, 563–575.

Dodds, W.K., Bouska, W.W., Eitzmann, J.L., Pilger, T.J., Pitts, J.L., Riley, A.J., Schloesser, J.T., Thornbrugh, D.J., 2009. Eutrophication of U.S. freshwater: analysis of potential economic damages. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43 (1), 12–19.

Eisenreich, S.J., Bannerman, R.T., Armstrong, D.E., 1975. A simplified phosphorus analytical technique. *Environ. Lett.* 9, 45–53.

Goody, D.C., Macdonald, D.M.J., Lapworth, D.J., Bennett, S.A., Griffiths, K.J., 2014. Nitrogen sources, transport and processing in peri-urban floodplains. *Sci. Total Environ.* 494–495, 28–38.

Goody, D.C., Lapworth, D.J., Ascott, M.J., Bennett, S.A., Heaton, T.H.E., SurrIDGE, B.W.J., 2015. Isotopic fingerprint for phosphorus in drinking water supplies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49 (15), 9020–9028.

Gruau, G., Legeas, M., Riou, C., Gallacrier, E., Martineau, F., Henin, O., 2005. The oxygen isotope composition of dissolved anthropogenic phosphates: a new tool for eutrophication research? *Water Res.* 39, 232–238.

Heaton, T.H.E., Stuart, M.E., Sapiano, M., Sultana, M.M., 2012. An isotope study of the sources of nitrate in Malta's groundwater. *J. Hydro. Earth Syst. Sci.* 414, 244–254.

Hood, J.L.A., Taylor, W.D., Schiff, S.L., 2014. Examining the fate of WWTP effluent nitrogen using $\delta^{15}\text{N-NH}_4^+$, $\delta^{15}\text{N-NO}_3^-$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of submersed macrophytes. *Aquat. Sci.* 76, 243–258.

Howarth, R.W., 1988. Nutrient limitation of net primary production in marine ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 19, 89–110.

Jaisi, D.P., Blake, R.E., Kukkadapu, R.K., 2010. Fractionation of oxygen isotopes in phosphate during its interaction with iron oxides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 1309–1319.

James, C., Fisher, L.J., Moss, B., 2003. Nitrogen driven lakes: the Shropshire and Cheshire meres? *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 158, 249–266.

Jarvie, H.P., Neal, C., Withers, P.J.A., 2006. Sewage-effluent phosphorus: a greater risk to river eutrophication than agricultural phosphorus. *Sci. Total Environ.* 360, 246–253.

Jarvie, H.P., Sharpley, A.N., Scott, J.T., Haggard, B.E., Bowes, M.J., Massey, L.B., 2012. Within-river phosphorus retention: accounting for a missing piece in the watershed phosphorus puzzle. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 13284–13292.

Jarvie, H.P., Withers, P.J.A., Bowes, M.J., Palmer-Felgate, E.J., Harper, D.M., Wasiak, K., Hodgkinson, R.A., Bates, A., Stoate, C., Neal, M., Wickham, H.D., Harman, S.A., Armstrong, L.K., 2010. Streamwater phosphorus and nitrogen across a gradient in rural-agricultural land use intensity. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 135, 238–252.

Kendall, C., 1998. Tracing nitrogen sources and cycling in catchments. In: Kendall, C., McDonnell, J.J. (Eds.), *Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 519–576.

- Kendall, C., Elliott, E.M., Wankel, S.D., 2007. In: Michener, R., Lajtha, K. (Eds.), "Tracing Anthropogenic Inputs of Nitrogen to Ecosystems", Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, second ed. Blackwell Publishing, pp. 375–449.
- Kaown, D., Koh, D.-C., Mayer, B., Lee, K.-K., 2009. Identification of nitrate and sulphate sources in groundwater using dual stable isotope approach for an agricultural area with different land use (Chuncheon, mid-eastern Korea). *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 132, 223–231.
- Karl, D.M., 2000. A new source of 'new' nitrogen in the sea. *Trends Microbiol.* 8 (7), 301–301.
- Lapworth, D.J., Gooddy, D.C., Allen, D., Williams, P.J., Heaton, T.H.E., Kent, F., Penn, R., 2009. Phosphate Sources in the Bault Catchment, Kent – a Multi Technique Approach. British Geological Survey Internal Report IR/09/040.
- Lapworth, D.J., Gooddy, D.C., Kent, F., Heaton, T.H.E., Cole, S.J., Allen, D., 2013. A combined geochemical and hydrological approach to understanding macro-nutrient sources. *J. Hydrol.* 500, 226–242.
- Lapworth, D.J., Surridge, B.J.W., Williams, P.J., Heaton, T.H.E., Gooddy, D.C., 2014. Method for Analysis of Phosphate $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ Ratios in Waters with High C: P Ratios. British Geological Survey Open Report OR/14/67.
- Levine, S.N., Stainton, M.P., Schindler, D.W., 1986. A radiotracer study of phosphorus cycling in a eutrophic Canadian Shield lake, Lake 227, northwestern Ontario. *Can. J. Fish Aquatic Sci.* 43, 366–378.
- Li, X., Wang, Y., Stern, J., Gu, B., 2011. Isotopic evidence for the source and fate of phosphorus in Everglades wetland ecosystems. *Appl. Geochem.* 26, 688–695.
- Nutrients and eutrophication. In: Likens, G.E. (Ed.), *Am. Soc. Limnol. Oceanogr. Special Symp.* 1, 328.
- Macdoanld, A.M., Edwards, A.C., Pugh, K.B., Balls, P.W., 1995. Soluble nitrogen and phosphorus in the River Ythan system, U.K.: annual and seasonal trends. *Water Res.* 39, 837–846.
- Mayer, B., 2005. Assessing sources and transformations of sulphate and nitrate in the hydrosphere using isotopic techniques. In: Aggarwal, P.K., Gat, J.R., Froelich, F.O. (Eds.), *Isotopes in the Water Cycle: Past, Present and Future of a Developing Science* Pp67–90. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- McLaughlin, K., Silva, S., Kendall, C., Stuart-Williams, H., Paytan, A., 2004. A precise method for the analysis of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of dissolved inorganic phosphate in seawater. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 2, 202–212.
- McLaughlin, K., Kendall, C., Silva, S., Young, M., Paytan, A., 2006. Phosphate oxygen isotope ratios as a tracer for sources and cycling of phosphate in North San Francisco Bay, California. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* 111 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000079>. G03003.
- Middelburg, J.J., Nieuwenhuize, J., 2001. Nitrogen isotope tracing of dissolved nitrogen behaviour in tidal estuaries. *Estuary Coast Shelf Sci.* 53, 385–391.
- Mischler, J.A., Taylor, P.G., Townsend, A.R., 2014. Nitrogen limitation of pond ecosystems on the plains of eastern Colorado. *PLoS One* 9 (5), e95757.
- Murphy, J., Riley, J.P., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal. Chem. Acta* 27, 31–36.
- Neal, C., Jarvie, H.P., Howarth, S.M., Whitehead, P.G., Williams, R.J., Neal, M., Harrow, M., Wickham, H., 2000. The water quality of the River Kennet: initial observations on a lowland chalk stream impacted by sewage inputs and phosphorus remediation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 251/252, 477–496.
- O'Neil, J.R., Vennemann, T.W., Mckenzie, W.F., 2003. Effects of speciation on equilibrium fractionations and rates of oxygen isotope exchange between $(\text{PO}_4)(\text{aq})$ and H_2O . *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67, 3135.
- Pretty, J.N., Mason, C.F., Nedwell, D.B., Hine, R.E., Leaf, S., Dils, R., 2003. Environmental costs of freshwater eutrophication in England and Wales. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 37 (2), 201–208.
- Ryther, J.H., Dunstan, W.M., 1971. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and eutrophication in the coastal marine environment. *Science* 171 (3975), 1008–1013.
- Scott, T., Rose, J., Jenkins, T., Farrah, S., Lukasik, J., 2002. Microbial source tracking: current methodology and future directions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68 (12), 5796–5803.
- Schindler, D.W., 1977. Evolution of phosphorus limitation in lakes. *Science* 195 (4275), 260–262.
- Schindler, D.W., 2012. The dilemma of controlling cultural eutrophication of lakes. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 279 (1746), 4322–4333.
- Sebilo, M., Billen, G., Grably, M., Mariotti, A., 2003. Isotopic composition of nitrate-nitrogen as a marker of riparian and benthic denitrification at the scale of the whole Seine River system. *Biogeochemistry* 63, 35–51.
- Sebilo, M., Billen, G., Mayer, B., Billiou, D., Grably, M., Garnier, J., Mariotti, A., 2006. Assessing nitrification and denitrification in the Seine River and estuary using chemical and isotopic techniques. *Ecosystems* 9, 564–577.
- Seitzinger, S.P., 1988. Denitrification in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems: ecological and geochemical significance. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 33, 702–724.
- Sigman, D.M., Altyabet, M.A., Michener, R., McCorkle, D.C., Fry, B., Holmes, R.M., 1997. Natural abundance-level measurement of the nitrogen isotopic composition of oceanic nitrate: an adaptation of the ammonia diffusion method. *Mar. Chem.* 57, 227–242.
- Silva, S.R., Kendall, C., Wilkison, D.H., Ziegler, A.C., Chang, C.C.Y., Avanzino, R.J., 2000. A new method for collection of nitrate from fresh water and the analysis of nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios. *J. Hydrol.* 228, 22–36.
- Smith, V.H., Schindler, D.W., 2009. Eutrophication science: where do we go from here? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 24, 201–207.
- Tamburini, F., Pfähler, V., Von Sperber, C., Frossard, E., Bernasconi, S., 2014. Oxygen isotopes for unravelling phosphorus transformations in the soil–plant system: a review. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 78, 38–46.
- Thingstad, T.F., Skjoldal, E.F., Bohne, R.A., 1993. Phosphorus cycling and algal-bacterial competition in Sandsfjord, western Norway. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 99 (3), 239–259.
- Tudge, A.P., 1960. A method of analysis of oxygen isotopes in orthophosphate - its use in the measurement of paleotemperatures. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 18 (1), 81–93.
- Urresti-Estala, B., Vadillo-Pérez, I., Jiménez-Gavilana, P., Soler, A., Sánchez-García, D., Carrasco-Cantosa, F., 2015. Application of stable isotopes ($\delta^{34}\text{S}$ - SO_4 , $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - SO_4 , $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_3 , $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - NO_3) to determine natural background and contamination sources in the Guadalhorce River Basin (southern Spain). *Sci. Total Environ.* 506–507, 46–57.
- Vitousek, P., Porder, S., Houlton, B.Z., Chadwick, O.A., 2010. Terrestrial phosphorus limitation: mechanisms, implications, and nitrogen-phosphorus interactions. *Ecol. Appl.* 20, 5–15.
- Wassenaar, L.L., 1995. Evaluation of the origin and fate of nitrate in the Abbotsford Aquifer using the isotopes of ^{15}N and ^{18}O in NO_3^- . *Appl. Geochem.* 10, 391–405.
- Water Framework Directive (WFD), Council of European Communities, 2000. Establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy (WFD;2000/60/EC). *Off. J. Eur. Commun.* L327 43, 1–72.
- Widory, D., Kloppmann, W., Chery, L., Bonnin, J., Rochdi, H., Guinamant, J.L., 2004. Nitrate in groundwater: an isotopic multi-tracer approach. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* 72, 165–188.
- Xu, H., Paerl, H.W., Qin, B., Zhu, G., Gao, G., 2010. Nitrogen and phosphorus inputs control phytoplankton growth in eutrophic Lake Taihu, China. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 55, 420–432.
- Young, M.B., McLaughlin, K., Kendall, C., Stringfellow, W., Rollog, M., Elsbury, K., Donald, E., Paytan, A., 2009. Characterizing the oxygen isotopic composition of phosphate sources to aquatic ecosystems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43 (14), 5190–5196.