Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Applied Clay Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clay

#### **Research** Paper

# Chemical and radiological characterization of clay minerals used in pharmaceutics and cosmetics

### Paulo S.C. Silva<sup>a,\*</sup>, Sônia M.B. Oliveira<sup>b</sup>, Luciana Farias<sup>c</sup>, Déborah I.T. Fávaro<sup>c</sup>, Barbara P. Mazzilli<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Radiation Metrology Center, Energy and Nuclear Research Institute (Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares), Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 2242,

Cidade Universitária, CEP 05508 000, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Geosciences, University of São Paulo, Rua do Lago 562, 05508-080, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Research Reactor Center, Energy and Nuclear Research Institute (Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares), Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 2242,

Cidade Universitária, CEP 05508 000, São Paulo, Brazil

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 March 2010 Received in revised form 7 February 2011 Accepted 11 February 2011 Available online 21 February 2011

*Keywords:* Radionuclides Trace elements Smectite Kaolinite

#### ABSTRACT

Since the early days, clays have been used for therapeutic purposes. Nowadays, they are used as active ingredients or as excipient in formulations for a variety of purposes. Despite their wide use, little information is available in literature on their content of trace elements and radionuclides. The purpose of this study was to determine the elements (As, Ba, Br, Cs, Co, Cr, Eu, Fe, Hf, Hg, La, Lu, Rb, Sb, Sc, Sm, Ta, Tb, Yb, Zn, and Zr) and the radionuclides (<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>228</sup>Ra, <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>40</sup>K) in Brazilian clays as well as the health and radiological implications of the use of these clays in pharmaceutical formulations.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Clay minerals are used in pharmaceutical formulations as active ingredients or excipients. As an active principle, clay minerals are used in oral applications as gastrointestinal protectors, osmotic oral laxatives and antidiarrhoeaics. Topical application includes their use as dermatological protectors and in cosmetics. They are also used in spas and aesthetic medicine (Carretero, 2002; Choy et al., 2007; Viseras et al., 2007).

A material to be used in pharmaceutical formulations must have low or zero toxicity. The presence of some elements, even in trace amounts, can pose a potential threat for the patient. Due to their high specific surface area and ion exchange capability (Lópes-Galindo et al., 2007) clay minerals possess high adsorption capacity which can cause the accumulation of trace elements and radionuclides. Several articles about the use of clay minerals in health science are available (Carretero and Pozo, 2009; Gomes and Silva, 2005, 2007; Hochella, 2002; Yushkin, 2004). However, only a few offer information about the content of these elements in clay minerals used for pharmaceutical purposes. In this study eight samples of medicinal clays, acquired in pharmacies and one with no brand were analyzed for their content of As, Ba, Br, Cs, Co, Cr, Eu, Fe, Hf, Hg, La, Lu, Rb, Sb, Sc, Sm, Ta, Tb, Yb, Zn, Zr and <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>228</sup>Ra, <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>40</sup>K. Physical–chemical characteristics as pH, moisture, loss on ignition and organic carbon (OC) were also determined.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Sample identification

According to their organoleptic characteristics, the clays analyzed were classified as white clays (AB 1, 2, 3 4 and 5) and green clays (AV 1, 2, 3 and 4). The sample with no brand was named AB3. As described below, these clays contain kaolinite and smectites.

#### 2.2. X-ray diffractometry

The clay minerals were identified by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using a Siemens automated powder diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator, and Cu K $\alpha$  radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA. All samples were scanned in the 2 $\theta$  range of 2–60° with a step size of 0.020°, using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA. Three X-ray patterns were recorded: at air-dried condition, after solvation with ethyleneglycol during 24 h, and after heating at 550 °C for 3 h.

#### 2.3. Neutron activation analysis

The elements As, Br, Co, Cr, Cs, Fe, Hf, K, Na, Rb, Sb, Sc, Se, Ta, Zn, Zr and rare earth elements (Ce, Eu, La, Lu, Nd, Sm, Tb, and Yb) were



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 1131339642; fax: +55 1131339842.

*E-mail addresses*: pscsilva@ipen.br (P.S.C. Silva), soniaoli@usp.br (S.M.B. Oliveira), lufarias@uol.com.br (L. Farias), defavaro@ipen.br (D.I.T. Fávaro), mazzilli@ipen.br (B.P. Mazzilli).

<sup>0169-1317/\$ –</sup> see front matter 0 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.clay.2011.02.013

determined by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA). For multi-elemental analysis of the samples, approximately 150 mg of samples and reference materials (NIST SRM 8704 and MAG-1, USGS) were accurately weighed and sealed in pre-cleaned double polyethylene bags for irradiation. Samples and reference materials were irradiated for 16 h in a thermal neutron flux of  $10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the IEA-R1 nuclear research reactor at IPEN (*Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares*). Two series of countings were made, one after one week decay, the second after 15–20 days (IAEA, 1990).

The counting time was 1.5 h for each sample and reference materials. Gamma spectrometry was performed using a coaxial Belayer HPGe detector with 22% relative efficiency, resolution of 2.09 keV at 1.33 MeV and associated electronic devices. The spectra were acquired by a multichannel analyzer SpectrumMaster and for the analysis the WinnerGamma software was used.

#### 2.4. Alpha spectrometry

Alpha spectrometry was used for the determination of the nuclides  $^{238}$ U and  $^{232}$ Th. Approximately 0.5 g of the sample was spiked with  $^{232}$ U and  $^{229}$ Th and dissolved in heated aqua regia mixture. The solution was neutralized with ammonia solution until iron (hydr) oxide precipitation. This precipitate was dissolved with concentrated HCl, evaporated almost to dryness and re-dissolved in 9 M HCl. The solution was passed through a pre-conditioned anion exchange resin column in 9 M HCl. The eluate was evaporated to dryness, re-dissolved with 8 M HNO<sub>3</sub> and passed through a pre-conditioned anion exchange resin column in 8 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. U and Th were eluted with 0.1 M HCl. The solution was evaporated and electroplated on a steel disk during 1 h using NH<sub>4</sub>Cl as an electrolyte (Ivanovich and Murray, 1992; Silva, 1998). The detection of alpha particles was done with a silicon barrier detector and count rates determined during 80,000 s.

#### 2.5. Gamma spectrometry

Activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>228</sup>Ra, <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>40</sup>K were measured by gamma spectrometry with a hyper-pure germanium detector, GEM-15200, from EG&G Ortec. The detector was calibrated with soil, rock and water spiked with radionuclides certified by Amersham. Samples were packed in 100 cm<sup>3</sup> cans and sealed for about four weeks prior to the measurement to ensure that equilibrium had been established between <sup>226</sup>Ra and its short-living decay products. The <sup>226</sup>Ra activities were determined by taking the mean activity of three separate photopeaks of its daughter nuclides: <sup>214</sup>Pb at 295 keV and 352 keV, and <sup>214</sup>Bi at 609 keV. The <sup>228</sup>Ra content of the samples was determined by measuring the intensities of the 911 keV and 968 keV gamma-ray peaks from <sup>228</sup>Ac. The concentration of <sup>210</sup>Pb was determined by measuring the activity of its low energy peak (47 keV). Self-absorption correction was applied because the attenuation for low energy gamma rays is highly dependent upon the sample composition (Custhall et al., 1983).

#### 2.6. Hg determination by FIA CV AAS

For total mercury determination the clay samples were analyzed by FIA CV AAS technique in a Perkin Elmer Flow Injection Mercury System (FIMS 100). The samples were digested in a mixture of conc.  $HNO_3$ , conc.  $H_2SO_4$  and  $30\% H_2O_2$  in Teflon vials. The vials were closed and left at room temperature overnight. Then, the vials were put into an aluminium block at 90 °C and left there for 3 h. The precision and accuracy of the analytical methodology were tested with certified reference materials: Buffalo River Sediment (NIST SRM 8704), Estuarine Sediment (NIST SRM 1646<sup>a</sup>) and Lake Sediment (BCR CRM 280).

#### 3. Results and discussion

Clay minerals contain considerable amounts of Al, Mg, K, Ca, Na and Fe and, occasionally, less common elements such as Ti, Mn, or Li and trace elements in varying amounts.

The qualitative identification of mineral species was based on the shape, position and intensity of specific reflections. The 7 Å reflection in dry samples, which was completely lost after heating to 550 °C, was attributed to kaolinite. The 10 Å reflection which was not affected by chemical treatment or heating indicated the presence of illite. The presence of smectites was evidenced by the reflection at d = 18.3-18.9 Å after EG solvation.

The X-ray patterns for white and green clays are presented in Fig. 1. White clays were kaolins mainly composed of well-crystallized kaolinite, with varying amounts of quartz and illite. Green clays were bentonites containing smectites of rather low crystallinity, minor amounts of kaolinite, illite, and traces of quartz.

The mass loss at 60, 400, and 800 °C due to the desorption of moisture, hydration water, and dehydroxylation is shown in Fig. 2.

The element contents determined by INAA and Hg are listed in Table 1. Barium was the only element of higher concentration in the kaolins. The rare earth elements, Br, Na, Ta and Zr are in the same order of magnitude in both and all other elements were found in higher concentrations in green clays (bentonites). According to the mineralogical composition, kaolinites have very little substitution in the lattice and, therefore, it has a minimal layer charge and a low base exchange capacity. Smectites, on the other hand, possess a high layer charge, very fine particle size, high cation exchange capacity and a high specific surface area (Carretero et al., 2010; López et al., 2005; Marzougui and Ben Mammou, 2006; Murray, 2000). In both types of clays large-ion lithophile elements of low mobility were found in the same order of magnitude. As commonly assumed to be immobile, these elements may be present due to isomorphous substitution.

Measurable concentrations of Hg were found only in the bentonites. Comparing the concentrations obtained in these samples with the mean values in the upper continental crust (Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961), Ce, Co, Cs, Nd, Rb, Sc, Sm and Zn were enriched in the green clays. Only Ba, Sm and Ta were enriched in the kaolins. As, Sb, Hg and Ba are toxic and Rb, Cr, Zr and rare earth elements can be considered dangerous (Lópes-Galindo et al., 2007) however there is no regulation for all these elements in clay minerals for pharmaceutical and cosmetic use. According to the European Pharmacopoeia (2002) E. P. 4th and US Pharmacopoeia (USP 29 - NF 24, 2006), the level of iron should be <0,25%; of As <40  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> (according to FDA, the threshold limit for ingestion of As in water is 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>); of heavy metals <50  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>. According to the European norm (no. 391r85; no. 179r86; and no. 199r86) As, Se, Cd, Hg, Pb, Sb and Tl are not permitted in cosmetic products.

For comparison purposes, the typical concentration of Hg found in the upper continental crust is 80 ng g<sup>-1</sup>, and according to the FDA, threshold limit for mercury ingestion is  $1 \mu g g^{-1}$ . Pathways for Hg contamination can be inhalation, oral ingestion and through the skin. Hg concentrations in different kinds of foods range from 0 to 100 ng g<sup>-1</sup>. In Brazil, the threshold limit for external exposition (Azevedo and Chasin, 2003) is 0.04 mg m<sup>-3</sup>. For Cr, occupational limits are established for hexavalent chromium, as 0.04 mg m<sup>-3</sup>, according to Brazilian law. The National Research Council (NRC) recommends a safe ingestion of 50– 200 µg/day of Cr. Zinc is an essential element with an ingestion limit recommended of 9 and 16 mg/day for adult women and men, respectively.

Table 2 shows the activity concentration of radionuclides (<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>228</sup>Ra, <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>40</sup>K), pH and organic carbon content. The green clays possessed an almost constant pH near the neutral value while the kaolins showed larger pH variations. The content of OC was also higher in the bentonites. This fact may also contribute to the higher metal content due to the formation of organic complexes.



 $\label{eq:second} \textbf{Fig. 1.} X-ray \ diffraction \ patterns \ for \ AB \ (white) \ and \ AV \ (green) \ clays. \ Minerals: \ S = smectite; \ K = kaolinite; \ I = illite; \ Q = quartz.$ 



Fig. 2. Mass loss after heating at different temperatures.

The green clays were characterized by a higher content of radionuclides, presenting a narrower variation and an activity ratio  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U varying from 0.41 to 0.60. The kaolins showed a wide variation of the U, Th and K contents and the activity ratio  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U varied from 0.34 to 1.91, indicating that the smectites of the green

Table 1

Concentrations of the elements in white clays (AB) and green clays (AV).

#### Table 2

Activity concentrations of  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th,  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{210}$ Pb and  $^{40}$ K (Bq kg  $^{-1}$ ), pH and organic carbon (OC in %).

	U-238	Th-232	Ra-226	Ra-228	Pb-210	K-40	pН	OC
	$(Bq kg^{-1})$							(%)
AV1	$134 \pm 19$	$81\pm4$	$51\pm4$	$72\pm 6$	$252\pm20$	$1146\pm92$	6.98	0.71
AV2	$168\pm23$	$92\pm4$	$42\pm3$	$77\pm 6$	$198 \pm 16$	$1126\pm90$	6.12	0.57
AV3	$188\pm24$	$77\pm4$	$42\pm3$	$76\pm 6$	$167 \pm 13$	$1114\pm89$	6.97	0.86
AV4	$136 \pm 18$	$72\pm3$	$40\pm3$	$80\pm 6$	$189 \pm 15$	$1088\pm87$	6.95	0.69
AB1	$123\pm15$	$46\pm 2$	$38\pm3$	$64\pm5$	$218\pm17$	$952\pm76$	7.74	0.1
AB2	$68 \pm 10$	$45\pm2$	$32\pm3$	$70\pm 6$	$188 \pm 15$	$828\pm 66$	8.10	0.05
AB3	$117\pm14$	$40\pm 2$	$54\pm4$	$82\pm7$	$252\pm20$	$1069\pm86$	5.68	0.12
AB4	$61\pm10$	$74\pm4$	$27\pm2$	$64\pm5$	$144\pm11$	$76\pm6$	6.04	0.02
AB5	$58\pm10$	$111\pm 5$	$32\pm3$	$92\pm7$	$162\pm13$	$758\pm61$	4.57	0.12

clays were more homogeneous in the composition than the kaolinites of the white clays. This fact was also confirmed by the La/Th ratio that varied from 0.70 to 0.73 in the smectite samples and from 0.50 to 1.56 in the kaolinite samples.

The white clays were more enriched with <sup>228</sup>Ra over <sup>226</sup>Ra than the green clays. The <sup>228</sup>Ra also showed a pronounced enrichment over its parent nuclide, <sup>232</sup>Th. AB4 and AB5 showed enrichment of Th over U compared to the other samples. In all samples, the <sup>210</sup>Pb activity

А	As $(\mu g g^{-1})$	Ba $(\mu g g^{-1})$	Br ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Ce ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Co ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	$Cr \ (\mu g \ g^{-1})$	Cs ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )
AV1	$8.0\pm0.7$	$434\pm 64$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$119\pm 6$	$21.2\pm0.6$	$79\pm4$	$14.8\pm1.0$
AV2	$8.9\pm0.8$	$481\pm70$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$147\pm8$	$19.0\pm0.6$	$80\pm4$	$13.6\pm0.9$
AV3	$7.9\pm0.7$	$475\pm36$	$3.3\pm0.6$	$164 \pm 10$	$22.7\pm0.6$	$86\pm4$	$14.4 \pm 0.7$
AV4	$4.1\pm0.6$	$433 \pm 33$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$149\pm10$	$21.1\pm0.6$	$79\pm3$	$13.5 \pm 0.6$
AB1	$1.8\pm0.3$	$2050 \pm 149$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$82\pm4$	$2.15\pm0.06$	$22\pm1$	$3.5 \pm 0.2$
AB2	$2.5\pm0.3$	$3018 \pm 219$	$3.0 \pm 0.1$	$150 \pm 8$	$0.83 \pm 0.03$	$30\pm1$	$7.0 \pm 0.3$
AB3	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$362\pm27$	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	$58 \pm 3$	$0.70\pm0.02$	$22 \pm 1$	$1.86 \pm 0.09$
AB4	$4.1 \pm 0.5$	$546\pm42$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$97\pm5$	$1.48\pm0.05$	$118 \pm 5$	$10.8\pm0.5$
AB5	$0.9\pm0.4$	$99\pm11$	$1.6\pm0.2$	$196 \pm 10$	$1.19\pm0.04$	$15\pm1$	$0.93 \pm 0.06$
А	Eu (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Fe(%)	Hf (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )	K(%)	La ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Lu ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Na (%)
AV1	$1.9\pm0.1$	$6.5\pm0.4$	$3.1\pm0.2$	$3.6\pm0.7$	$57\pm3$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.097 \pm 0.004$
AV2	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	$6.0\pm0.4$	$3.0 \pm 0.2$	$2.9\pm0.6$	$68 \pm 4$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.073 \pm 0.003$
AV3	$1.92\pm0.07$	$6.9\pm0.5$	$3.6 \pm 0.2$	$3.3 \pm 0.6$	$56\pm3$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.086 \pm 0.003$
AV4	$1.75\pm0.06$	$6.6 \pm 0.4$	$3.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.4 \pm 0.5$	$51\pm3$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.071 \pm 0.003$
AB1	$2.38\pm0.09$	$0.31\pm0.02$	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$47\pm2$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.047 \pm 0.002$
AB2	$1.58\pm0.06$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$2.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$70\pm2$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.059 \pm 0.002$
AB3	$1.39\pm0.05$	$0.28\pm0.02$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$24\pm1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$
AB4	$1.13\pm0.04$	$1.15\pm0.08$	$7.0 \pm 0.4$	$3.8 \pm 0.4$	$37 \pm 1$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.141 \pm 0.004$
AB5	$1.03\pm0.04$	$0.34\pm0.02$	$13.2\pm0.7$	ND	$84\pm3$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.028\pm0.003$
А	Nd ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Rb ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Sb ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Sc ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Sm ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	Ta ( $\mu g  g^{-1}$ )	Tb ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )
AV1	$44\pm7$	$199 \pm 10$	$1.06 \pm 0.08$	$18.5 \pm 0.6$	$9.2 \pm 0.4$	$1.5 \pm 0.4$	$2.1 \pm 0.6$
AV2	$59\pm9$	$205\pm10$	$1.00 \pm 0.08$	$19.6 \pm 0.6$	$11.3 \pm 0.5$	$1.7 \pm 0.5$	$2.4 \pm 0.7$
AV3	$46 \pm 8$	$245\pm9$	$0.83 \pm 0.08$	$19.6 \pm 0.6$	$9.4 \pm 0.4$	$2.2 \pm 0.3$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$
AV4	$43 \pm 7$	$219 \pm 8$	$0.48 \pm 0.06$	$18.3 \pm 0.5$	$8.6 \pm 0.4$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$
AB1	$20\pm5$	$57\pm2$	$0.32 \pm 0.04$	$4.7 \pm 0.1$	$10.5 \pm 0.4$	$2.7 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$
AB2	$22 \pm 5$	$102 \pm 4$	$0.45 \pm 0.05$	$3.5 \pm 0.1$	$9.0 \pm 0.4$	$4.9 \pm 0.4$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$
AB3	$6\pm 2$	$37 \pm 1$	ND	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	$5.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.06$
AB4	$4\pm 2$	$190 \pm 7$	$1.91 \pm 0.14$	$19.3 \pm 0.6$	$6.1 \pm 0.3$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$
AB5	$21\pm5$	$19\pm1$	ND	$6.3\pm0.2$	$11.1\pm0.4$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.0\pm0.1$
А	Yb ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ )	$Zn \ (\mu g \ g^{-1})$	Zr (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Hg (ng $g^{-1}$ )			
AV1	$2.9 \pm 0.2$	$326\pm15$	$183\pm49$	$43\pm2$			
AV2	$3.0 \pm 0.2$	$304\pm14$	ND	$48 \pm 1$			
41.00				44 + 2			
AV3	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	$231 \pm 6$	$109 \pm 23$	$44 \pm 2$			
AV3 AV4	$3.1 \pm 0.2$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 231\pm 6\\ 135\pm 4\end{array}$	$109 \pm 23$ $181 \pm 24$	$44 \pm 2$ $31 \pm 2$			
AV3 AV4 AB1	$3.1 \pm 0.2$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$	$231 \pm 6$ $135 \pm 4$ $269 \pm 8$	$109 \pm 23$ $181 \pm 24$ $99 \pm 12$	$44\pm 2$ $31\pm 2$ <ld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ld<>			
AV3 AV4 AB1 AB2	$3.1 \pm 0.2$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $2.6 \pm 0.1$	$231 \pm 6$ $135 \pm 4$ $269 \pm 8$ $29 \pm 1$	$109 \pm 23$ $181 \pm 24$ $99 \pm 12$ $130 \pm 13$	44±2 31±2 <ld <ld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ld<></ld 			
AV3 AV4 AB1 AB2 AB3	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1 \pm 0.2 \\ 3.2 \pm 0.2 \\ 3.2 \pm 0.2 \\ 2.6 \pm 0.1 \\ 2.0 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$231 \pm 6135 \pm 4269 \pm 829 \pm 113 \pm 1$	$109 \pm 23$ $181 \pm 24$ $99 \pm 12$ $130 \pm 13$ $98 \pm 10$	44±2 31±2 <ld <ld <ld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ld<></ld </ld 			
AV3 AV4 AB1 AB2 AB3 AB4	$3.1 \pm 0.2  3.2 \pm 0.2  3.2 \pm 0.2  2.6 \pm 0.1  2.0 \pm 0.1  3.5 \pm 0.2$	$231 \pm 6135 \pm 4269 \pm 829 \pm 113 \pm 198 \pm 3$	$109 \pm 23 \\181 \pm 24 \\99 \pm 12 \\130 \pm 13 \\98 \pm 10 \\186 \pm 22$	44±2 31±2 <ld <ld <ld <ld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ld<></ld </ld </ld 			
AV3 AV4 AB1 AB2 AB3 AB4 AB5	$3.1 \pm 0.2  3.2 \pm 0.2  3.2 \pm 0.2  2.6 \pm 0.1  3.5 \pm 0.2  4.6 \pm 0.2 $	$231 \pm 6 \\ 135 \pm 4 \\ 269 \pm 8 \\ 29 \pm 1 \\ 13 \pm 1 \\ 98 \pm 3 \\ 31 \pm 1$	$109 \pm 23 \\ 181 \pm 24 \\ 99 \pm 12 \\ 130 \pm 13 \\ 98 \pm 10 \\ 186 \pm 22 \\ 332 \pm 32$	44±2 31±2 <ld <ld <ld <ld <ld <ld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ld<></ld </ld </ld </ld </ld 			

148

concentration was higher than <sup>226</sup>Ra, probably due to the high solubility of the latter.

As a comparison, the concentrations, in Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, for <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>40</sup>K in soils are in the range of 16–60; 11–64; 17–60 and 140–850 (UNSCEAR, 2000). The radionuclides in the white and green clays contained higher concentrations than generally found in soils worldwide. No reference values for radionuclides were found for the application of clay minerals in pharmaceuticals. The equivalent dose calculated for the ingestion of these clay mineral samples, considering an intake of 1 g per day, is in the range of 0.06 to 0.1 mSv y<sup>-1</sup> and <sup>210</sup>Pb accounts for 52 to 67% of the total dose.

Compared with mud used in spas, the concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra in the present samples was lower. The concentrations of <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>228</sup>Ra and <sup>40</sup>K were of the same order of concentrations in the so-called "radioactive mud" (Manic et al., 2006).

#### 4. Conclusions

For the use of clay and clay minerals for pharmacological purposes, pharmacopoeias recommend different tests. The most significant tests are related to the identification of the clay minerals, the acidity or alkalinity, microbial limit, water content, quantity of acid soluble substances, presence of impurities (mineral salts, trace elements and radionuclides included), some chemical limitations and technical properties. Based on the values obtained for green clays, the ingestion of the clays in medicinal form may not present a threat but occupational risk must be considered. The radionuclide content determined in smectite samples (green clays) is also higher than in the kaolins (white clays). The analytical results revealed that the content of radionuclides in the white and green clays is not insignificant. Given that the increment in the annual dose is limited to 1 mSv (UNSCEAR, 2000) only the ingestion of 1 g per day of the white or green clays discussed in this paper may represent from 6 to 10% of this limit.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the São Paulo State Research Support Foundation (*Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo* — FAPESP), under fellowship contract 06/51840-5, and by the National Scientific and Technological Development Council (*Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* — CNPq), grant 300835/95-7.

#### References

- Azevedo, F.A., Chasin, A.A.M., 2003. Metais Gerenciamento da Toxicidade. Ed. Atheneu, São Paulo.
- Carretero, M.I., 2002. Clay minerals and their beneficial effects upon human health. A review. Appl. Clay Sci. 21, 155–163.
- Carretero, M.I., Pozo, M., 2009. Clay and non-clay minerals in the pharmaceutical industry Part I. Excipients and medical applications. Appl. Clay Sci. 46, 73–80.
- Carretero, M.I., Pozo, M., Martín-Rubí, J.A., Pozo, E., Maraver, F., 2010. Mobility of elements in interaction between artificial sweat and peloids used in Spanish spas. Appl. Clay Sci. 48, 506–515.
- Choy, J., Choi, S., Oh, J., Park, T., 2007. Clay minerals and layered double hydroxides for novel biological applications. Appl. Clay Sci. 36, 122–132.
- Custhall, N.H., Larser, I.L., Olsen, C.R., 1983. Direct analysis of 210Pb in sediments samples: self absorption corrections. Nucl. Instrum. Methods 206, 309–312.
- European Pharmacopoeia, 2002. 4th Edition. European Pharmacopoeia Convention, Strasbourg, France.
- Gomes, C.S.F., Silva, J.B.P., 2005. Products based on clay, mud and sand with interest for balneotherapy. Abstracts Book of the 13th International Clay Conference – Claysphere: Past, Present and Future – Tokyo, Japan, p. 67.
- Gomes, C.S.F., Silva, J.B.P., 2007. Minerals and clay minerals in medical geology. Appl. Clay Sci. 36, 4.
- Hochella, M.F., 2002. Sustaining earth: thoughts on the present and future roles of mineralogy in environmental science. Mineral. Mag., J. Miner. Sci. 66 (5), 627–652.
- IAEA-TECDOC-564, 1990. Practical aspects of operating a neutron analysis laboratory, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.
- Ivanovich, M., Murray, A., 1992. Spectroscopic methods. In: Ivanovich, M., Harmon, R.S. (Eds.), Uranium-series disequilibrium: Applications to environmental problems, 2nd edition. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 127–173.
- Lópes-Galindo, A., Viseras, C., Cerezo, P., 2007. Compositional, technical and safety specifications of clays to be used as pharmaceutical and cosmetic products. Appl. Clay Sci. 36, 51–63.
- López, J.M.G., Bauluz, B., Fernández-Nieto, C., Oliete, A.Y., 2005. Factors controlling the trace-element distribution in fine-grained rocks: the Albian kaolinite-rich deposits of the Oliete Basin (NE Spain). Chem. Geol. 214, 1–19.
- Manic, G., Petrovic, S., Vesna, M., Popovic, D., Todorovic, D., 2006. Radon concentrations in a spa in Serbia. Environ. Int. 32, 533–537.
- Marzougui, A., Ben Mammou, A., 2006. Impacts of the dumping site on the environment: case of the Henchir El Yahoudia Site, Tunis, Tunisia. C.R. Geosci. 338, 1176–1183.
- Murray, H.H., 2000. Traditional and new applications for kaolin, smectite, and palygorskite: a general overview. Appl. Clay Sci. 17, 207–221.
- Silva, P.S.C., 1998. Determinação de radionuclídeos pertencentes às séries de <sup>238</sup>U e <sup>232</sup>Th nos fosfatos do Arquipélago de Abrolhos (BA). (In Portuguese) M.Sc tesis, IAG/USP.
- Turekian, K.K., Wedepohl, K.H., 1961. Distribution of elements in some major of the earth's crust. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 72, 175–192.
- UNSCEAR, 2000. Exposures from natural radiation sources.
- US Pharmacopoeia 29-NF 24, 2006. United States Pharmacopoeial Convention.
- Viseras, C., Aguzzi, C., Cerezo, P., Lopez-Galindo, A., 2007. Uses of clay minerals in semisolid health care and therapeutic products. Appl. Clay Sci. 36, 37–50.
- Yushkin, N.P., 2004. Human health effects of minerals. 32nd International Geological Congress, Florence, Italy.