Use of AlInN layers in optical monitoring of growth of GaN-based structures on free-standing GaN substrates
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When lattice matched to GaN, the AlInN ternary alloy has a refractive index ~7% lower than that of GaN. This characteristic can be exploited to perform in situ reflectometry during epitaxial growth of GaN-based multilayer structures on free-standing GaN substrates, by insertion of a suitable Al0.82In0.18N layer. The real-time information on growth rates and cumulative layer thicknesses thus obtainable is particularly valuable in the growth of optical resonant cavity structures. We illustrate this capability with reference to the growth of InGaN/GaN multiple quantum-well structures, including a doubly periodic structure with relatively thick GaN spacer layers between groups of wells. Al0.82In0.18N insertion layers can also assist in the fabrication of resonant cavity structures in postgrowth processing, for example, acting as sacrificial layers in a lift-off process exploiting etch selectivity between Al0.82In0.18N and GaN.

The increasing availability of bulk crystal and other forms of free-standing GaN (FS-GaN) substrate has been shown to offer improvements in various III-nitride devices, including ultraviolet (UV) light-emitting diodes, edge-emitting lasers, and high-frequency transistors. However, the adoption of GaN as a substrate has hindered the use of optical in situ monitoring techniques during epitaxial growth of device structures. The difficulty lies in the absence of a significant refractive index contrast between the epilayers and the substrate, in contrast to heteroepitaxial growth situations of GaN structures on substrates such as sapphire or silicon carbide. This lack of in situ monitoring capability is a general issue, but is especially problematic for III-nitride photonic devices based on vertical resonant cavities, for which real-time control of layer thicknesses during growth is highly desirable. Examples of these include high-finesse microcavities designed to demonstrate strong light-matter coupling, resonant cavity photodetectors, and various forms of surface-emitting laser (SEL). The need for precise control of layer thicknesses is reinforced by the frequent requirement to place quantum wells at specific depths inside cavities, for example, at electric-field antinode positions in SEL structures designed for resonant periodic gain (RPG).

In this letter, we report a means to apply in situ reflectometry (ISR) to homoepitaxial metalorganic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE) of GaN. In the typical heteroepitaxial MOVPE process, the refractive index difference between a GaN film and a sapphire substrate provides a variation in absolute reflectance between ~0.29 and ~0.08 as the film thickness satisfies successive constructive and destructive interference conditions. The consequent ease of measuring epilayer growth rates from the period of reflectance oscillations, in close to real time, has made this procedure standard in heteroepitaxial MOVPE of III nitrides. Here, we demonstrate that the insertion of ternary AlInN layers into homoepitaxial GaN-based structures directly facilitates ISR while retaining the advantages of low dislocation density inherent in homoepitaxial growth on GaN substrates. Reports by Carlin and co-workers on distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs), comprised of alternate layers of AlInN and GaN, previously demonstrated the simultaneous refractive index contrast and in-plane lattice match achievable with this pair of materials. For the lattice-matched composition approximating to Al0.82In0.18N, the refractive index contrast with GaN at MOVPE growth temperatures was estimated as 7% at 950 nm. However, all the various structures containing AlInN/GaN DBRs reported by Carlin and co-workers were grown heteroepitaxially on sapphire, in contrast to the homoepitaxial structures discussed here.

Our samples were grown in an Aixtron 200-series MOVPE reactor fitted with a multiwavelength reflectometer. General procedures for optical monitoring and growth of InGaN/GaN structures with this system have been described elsewhere. The reactor employs gas-foil rotation of substrates at 0.5–3 Hz, and the sampling frequency for reflectance data collection was ~1 Hz. GaN barrier and cap layers of multiple QWs (MQWs) were grown at the same temperature as the InGaN QWs, which were tailored for emission at ~420 nm. ISR data were not subject to any postgrowth corrections, and as-measured reflectances were slightly lower than the expected absolute values, owing to nonparallelism of the substrates. The conditions used for Al0.82In0.18N growth included a nitrogen ambient, a reactor pressure of 75 millibar, a setpoint temperature of 820 °C, and produced a growth rate of ~130 nm h⁻¹. Commercial 10 mm square wurzite-phase FS-GaN substrates with (0001) orientation from ATMI Inc. (Danbury, CT) were used. These had threading dislocation densities as low as 3 × 10⁵ cm⁻². Growth of each homoepitaxial structure began with a 1 μm GaN buffer layer on the FS-GaN substrate, followed by an Al0.82In0.18N layer 70 nm in nominal thickness. This physical thickness of Al0.82In0.18N was intended to give an optical thickness of λ/4
at one of our usual monitoring wavelengths, namely 600 nm (see Fig. 1). The estimate of the index contrast between GaN and Al0.82In0.18N from Ref. 11 predicts a corresponding physical thickness of ~68 nm. The InGaN QWs had a nominal thickness of 2.5 nm, and were separated by 7 nm GaN barriers. The MQWs were characterized by techniques including atomic force microscopy and cathodoluminescence (CL) hyperspectral imaging, results from which will be reported elsewhere.

The first MQW considered contained a second 1 μm GaN layer above the Al0.82In0.18N layer, and three InGaN QWs grown at 820 °C, covered by a GaN cap of nominal thickness 14 nm. The plot of reflectance versus time in Fig. 1 starts with growth of the Al0.82In0.18N layer. Because Al0.82In0.18N has a lower refractive index than GaN, the reflectance decreased as this layer grew, and the target optical thickness of λ/4 resulted in the growth step ending at a reflectance minimum. The calculated change in absolute reflectance during growth of a λ/4 layer of Al0.82In0.18N on FS-GaN is 0.175 to 0.131. The experimental reflectance data corresponding to Al0.82In0.18N growth show a significant noise envelope, caused by the combination of the substrate rotation and its nonideal parallelism. The relatively slow growth rates during Al0.82In0.18N and MQW growth tend to emphasize fluctuations in measured reflectance, and we find that the different rotation speeds under different growth conditions also affect the signal stability. However, it is clear that ISR could be used for accurate control of the Al0.82In0.18N layer thickness if required, for example stopping growth at a reflectance extremum. Neglecting absorption effects, Al0.82In0.18N layers of any optical thickness mλ/4, where m is an odd integer, would give similar reflectance wave forms during the growth of the remainder of the structure. However, the lowest thickness with m=1 minimizes the risk of misfit dislocations forming if the compositional control, and hence lattice matching to GaN, is imperfect. During growth of the GaN layer above the Al0.82In0.18N layer, large-amplitude reflectance oscillations were observed, and the GaN growth rate was measured to be 1.65 μm h−1, assuming a high-temperature refractive index of 2.44. Growth of the three QW stack completing this structure corresponded to only a small fraction of an optical cycle.

As a second example, we discuss a more complex structure containing 15 QWs arranged in an RPG configuration. This was designed to function as an external cavity SEL, after integration of the active region with an oxide-based DBR. Information on the structure and target layer thicknesses is presented in Fig. 2(a), while Fig. 2(b) shows the time dependence of the sample reflectance during growth. Growth of such RPG-MQWs in the InGaN/GaN system requires repeated temperature cycling between the high growth temperature (here 1130 °C) necessary to grow GaN spacer layers in a practical time, and the lower temperature (here 835 °C) obligatory for InGaN growth. Including 3.5 nm low-temperature GaN protective layers grown above the final QW in each three-well group, only 13% of the total thickness of the structure was grown under the lower-temperature conditions. Therefore, real-time measurement of the high-temperature GaN growth rate applicable to the spacer layers was essential for accurate control of the total cavity thickness, and QW positions. A growth rate of 1.69 μm h−1 was measured for the first GaN layer above the Al0.82In0.18N layer, allowing appropriate adjustment of the periods for the subsequent GaN spacer layers. Iterative simulation of a reflectance spectrum measured ex situ indicated a total thickness of 923 nm for the GaN and InGaN layers above the Al0.82In0.18N layer, compared to a target value of 958 nm. Thermal expansion of GaN along [0001], which was not allowed for in our thickness calibration procedure, will contribute approximately one-half of this difference between the target and actual structure thickness. Investigations of the effects of Al0.82In0.18N layers on the properties of QWs are ongoing, but we note that the 15 QW sample discussed showed a four-fold increase in integrated CL peak intensity...
An additional role for AlInN insertion layers in fabrication of homoeptaxial III-nitride microcavity structures is suggested by the differences in etching characteristics between AlInN and GaN. In initial experiments, we have demonstrated that lattice-matched Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N wet etches much faster than GaN in various alkaline media. Figure 3 shows a secondary electron image of the edge of a mesa, initially defined by inductively coupled plasma etching, in a three-layer GaN/Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N/GaN structure grown on sapphire (0001). The mesa-etched sample was held in ~6% aqueous potassium hydroxide solution for 24 h at room temperature, and this treatment caused preferential undercutting of the Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N layer. The conical morphology of the remaining Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N, which shows dark contrast in the figure, resembles that reported by Stonas et al. for the early stages of a more complex photoelectrochemical lift-off process, using a buried InGaN layer. Device fabrication routes involving dissolution of sacrificial Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N would avoid issues of lattice mismatch inherent in the use of InGaN layers. Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N insertion layers could also facilitate etch depth calibration during dry etching, for example, acting as marker layers in processes using appropriate diagnostics to detect the appearance of aluminum and/or indium species in the plasma.

In summary, insertion of a $\lambda/4$ Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N layer into III-nitride multilayer structures grown on FS-GaN substrates allows oscillations of useful amplitude to be obtained in \textit{in situ} reflectance traces, without the introduction of lattice mismatch. The Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N layer thus facilitates real-time measurement of growth rates by a standard method, and allows accurate control of layer thicknesses, which is of special importance for homoeptaxial resonant cavity structures. As an illustration, we have discussed an InGaN/GaN MQW structure containing 15 QWs in an RPG configuration, and incorporating a relatively thick (~420 nm) GaN layer immediately above the Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N. This structure design allowed an in situ calibration of the high-temperature GaN growth rate, which was used to control thicknesses of subsequent thinner (60 nm) GaN spacer layers. Al$_{0.82}$In$_{0.18}$N insertion layers also offer promise as sacrificial layers in fabrication sequences using alkaline wet etches, and as etch stop or marker layers in dry etch processes.
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